

# A photometric investigation of the young open cluster Trumpler 15

Giovanni Carraro<sup>★</sup>

*Dipartimento di Astronomia, Università di Padova, Vicolo Osservatorio 2, I-35122 Padova, Italy*

Accepted 2001 December 4. Received 2001 November 30; in original form 2001 October 29

## ABSTRACT

In this paper we present and analyse new CCD *UBVRI* photometry down to  $V \approx 21$  in the region of the young open cluster Trumpler 15, located in the Carina spiral feature. The cluster is rather compact and has a core radius of about 2 arcmin, which translates to about 1 pc at the distance of the cluster. We provide the first CCD investigation and update its fundamental parameters. We identify 90 candidate photometric members on the base of the position in the colour–colour and colour–magnitude diagrams. This sample allows us to obtain a distance of  $2.4 \pm 0.3$  kpc from the Sun and a reddening  $E(B - V) = 0.52 \pm 0.07$ . We confirm that the cluster is young, and fix a upper limit of  $6 \times 10^6$  yr to its age.

In addition, we draw attention to the lower part of the main sequence (MS) suggesting that some stars can be in contracting phase and on a gap in the MS, which we show to be a real feature, the B1–B5 gap found in other young open clusters.

We finally study in detail the extinction toward Trumpler 15 concluding that it is normal and suggesting a value of  $2.89 \pm 0.19$  for the ratio of total to selective absorption  $R_V$ .

**Key words:** techniques: photometric – open clusters and associations: individual: Trumpler 15.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the stellar content of the young compact open cluster Trumpler 15 by means of deep multicolour CCD photometry.

This open cluster ( $\alpha = 10:44:33.0$ ,  $\delta = -59:24:24.0$ ,  $l = 287.41$ ,  $b = -0.41$ ; J2000.0) is located near the northern edge of the Great Carina Nebula (NGC 3372), about 20 arcmin above  $\eta$  Carinae. It is also named VdB-Hagen 104, Lund 558 and OCL 825.

Like other young clusters in this region (e.g. Trumpler 14 and 16), it is rather compact and rich. There are several intriguing questions related to this cluster, which was discussed in the past often leading to contradictory results. Is the interstellar extinction toward Trumpler 15 normal? Is this cluster connected with the other ones located much closer to  $\eta$  Carinae, like Trumpler 14, 16 and Collinder 232? In other words, does it share the same properties of these clusters, like age and distance, suggesting that it probably formed together with them in the same star formation event?

Aiming at clarifying these issues and deriving updated estimates for its fundamental parameters, like distance and age, in this paper we present and discuss the first *UBVRI* CCD photometric study of Trumpler 15.

The layout of the paper is as follows. Section 2 presents briefly

the data acquisition and reduction. In Section 3 we discuss previous investigations on this cluster. In Section 4 we compare our photometry with previous ones and present our data. Section 5 illustrates the technique to derive reddening and membership of stars in Trumpler 15. Section 6 is dedicated to the study of the interstellar extinction toward the cluster, while in Section 7 we derive estimates for Trumpler 15 age and distance. Finally, Section 8 discusses the geometrical structure of the cluster and Section 9 summarizes our findings.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Observations of four  $3.2 \times 3.2$  arcmin<sup>2</sup> overlapping fields in the region of Trumpler 15 were conducted at La Silla on 1996 April 16, with the 0.92-m ESO–Dutch telescope. The observations strategy, the data reduction and the error analysis have been presented in Patat & Carraro (2001), which the reader is referred to for any detail. Briefly, the night was photometric with an average seeing of 1.0 arcsec. In the same night we observed NGC 3324, a young open cluster in the same region, about which we reported elsewhere (Carraro, Patat & Baumgardt 2001). The log-book of the observations reporting the filters used, the integration times and the seeing of each frame is given in Table 1, whereas a map of the covered region is shown in Fig. 1. We performed point spread function (PSF) photometry and corrected stellar magnitudes for aperture corrections, since standard stars were measured by performing aperture photometry (Patat & Carraro 2001). Our

<sup>★</sup>E-mail: giovanni.carraro@unipd.it

**Table 1.** Journal of observations of Trumpler 15 (1996 April 16).

Field	Filter	Time integration (s)	Seeing (arcsec)
#1	<i>U</i>	30	1.2
	<i>B</i>	10	1.2
	<i>B</i>	300	1.0
	<i>V</i>	3	1.1
	<i>V</i>	120	1.1
	<i>R</i>	1	1.0
	<i>R</i>	60	1.0
	<i>I</i>	3	1.1
	<i>I</i>	120	1.0
#2	<i>U</i>	30	1.0
	<i>B</i>	10	0.9
	<i>B</i>	300	0.9
	<i>V</i>	3	0.9
	<i>V</i>	120	0.8
	<i>R</i>	1	0.8
	<i>R</i>	60	0.9
	<i>I</i>	3	1.0
	<i>I</i>	120	1.1
#3	<i>U</i>	20	1.0
	<i>B</i>	3	1.1
	<i>B</i>	300	1.1
	<i>V</i>	3	1.0
	<i>V</i>	120	1.0
	<i>R</i>	1	1.0
	<i>R</i>	60	1.0
	<i>I</i>	3	1.0
	<i>I</i>	120	1.1
#4	<i>U</i>	20	1.1
	<i>B</i>	3	1.0
	<i>B</i>	300	1.0
	<i>V</i>	3	1.0
	<i>V</i>	120	1.0
	<i>R</i>	1	1.0
	<i>R</i>	63	1.1
	<i>I</i>	5	1.1
	<i>I</i>	120	1.1

photometry is rather accurate: typical global RMS errors are 0.03, 0.04 and 0.07 at  $V = 12, 20$  and  $21$ , respectively (see Patat & Carraro 2001).

The complete photometry of Trumpler 15 will be available at the WEBDA site.<sup>1</sup>

### 3 PREVIOUS STUDIES

#### 3.1 Photometric investigations

Trumpler 15 was firstly studied by Grubissich (1968) and Thé & Vleeming (1971). These latter provided *UBV* photographic photometry for 25 stars down to  $V = 13$ , confirming previous suggestions by Grubissich (1968) that the cluster is very young. Moreover they find that the cluster is closer to us than Trumpler 14 and 16, at about 1.6 kpc.

A more detailed study has been carried out by Feinstein, Fitzgerald & Moffat (1980), who measure 48 stars in *UBVRI* using an RCA 1P21 photomultiplier down to  $V = 14$ . They identify 24

candidate members, and suggest that probably other 12 stars can be members of the cluster.

While they basically confirm the cluster age, they significantly revise the distance, putting Trumpler 15 at  $2.6 \pm 0.2$  kpc, roughly at the same distance of Trumpler 14 and 16. From the analysis of their photometry they conclude that the reddening law in the direction of the cluster is normal and that the mean colour excess is  $E(B - V) = 0.48 \pm 0.07$ .

Trumpler 15 was also observed in the Walraven photometric system by Thé, Bakker & Antalova (1980) who found a distance of 2.5 kpc and a very-low reddening  $E(B - V) = 0.189$ .

Finally, Tapia et al. (1988) present *JHKL* near infra-red photometry for 35 stars and, at odds with Feinstein et al. (1980), claim that the interstellar extinction toward Trumpler 15 is not normal and report a ratio of total to selective absorption  $R_V = 4.0$ , significantly higher than the widely accepted value of 3.1, which instead seems to hold for all the other clusters in the vicinity of  $\eta$  Carinae (Feinstein, Marraco & Muzzio 1973).

In conclusion there seems to exist a general disagreement in all the major properties of Trumpler 15, but for the age.

#### 3.2 Spectroscopic investigations

Photographic spectroscopy of 21 stars in the field of Trumpler 15 has been carried out by Morrell, Garcia & Levato (1988). This investigation led to the spectral classification of the studied stars, all with magnitude brighter than  $B = 13$ . There stars were found to range from *O* to *B9* spectral type. For some of these stars the classification were already been obtained by Feinstein et al. (1980), and the two sources compare very nicely.

### 4 THE PRESENT STUDY

We provide *UBVRI* photometry for 1404 stars in a  $6.2 \times 6.2$  arcmin<sup>2</sup> region centred in Trumpler 15, up to about  $V = 21$ . The region we sampled is shown in Fig. 1, where a *V* map is presented. In this map north is on the top, east on the left. The stars are plotted according to their magnitude. Open symbols are used to illustrate how blending situations are actually present.

Figs 2 and 3 present a comparison between our photometry and Thé & Vleeming (1971) and Feinstein et al. (1980), respectively.

A comparison with Thé & Vleeming (1971) photometry is shown in Fig. 2 for 16 stars in common. From this sample we find

$$V_C - V_{TV} = 0.08 \pm 0.18$$


$$(B - V)_C - (B - V)_{TV} = -0.01 \pm 0.09$$

$$(U - B)_C - (U - B)_{TV} = -0.28 \pm 0.13,$$


where the suffix ‘C’ stands for the present work, while ‘TV’ stands for Thé & Vleeming (1971). The difference is large only for  $(U - B)$ , but the scatter is large in all the relations, as can be noticed also by inspecting Fig. 2. It is important to stress that the field is rather crowded and unevenly obscured, and usually photographic photometry performs badly at the faint magnitude end and in cases of blended stars. Thé & Vleeming (1971) point out that they were not able to measure the three brightest stars in the cluster core (see Fig. 1) due to blending.

The larger difference is in the  $(U - B)$  colour. Such discrepancy is reported also by Feinstein et al. (1980), who in fact report differences with Thé & Vleeming (1971) of  $0.01 \pm 0.12$ ,  $0.07 \pm 0.15$ , and  $0.42 \pm 0.16$  for  $V$ ,  $(B - V)$  and  $(U - B)$ , respectively. Although for  $V$  and  $(B - V)$  there is a good


<sup>1</sup> <http://obswww.unige.ch/webda/navigation.html>



**Figure 1.** A map of a observed region around Trumpler 15. The size of each star is proportional to its magnitude. North is up, east on the left. The field is about  $6 \times 6 \text{ arcmin}^2$ .



**Figure 2.** A comparison of our photometry with Thé & Vleeming (1971) study. The comparison is in the sense (this study–Thé & Vleeming).



**Figure 3.** A comparison of our photometry with Feinstein et al (1980) study. The comparison is in the sense (this study–Feinstein et al).

agreement, none the less the scatter is quite large. Apparently, a calibration problem with  $(U - B)$  exists in Thé & Vleeming (1971), and most probably the reason of the scatter is an unaccounted colour term in the photographic measurements, as the solid line in Fig. 2 (upper panel) would seem to indicate.

We have compared our photometry with Feinstein et al. (1980) for 33 common stars. The results are shown in Fig. 3. We obtain

$$V_C - V_{\text{FFM}} = 0.03 \pm 0.08$$

$$(B - V)_C - (B - V)_{\text{FFM}} = 0.05 \pm 0.09$$

$$(U - B)_C - (U - B)_{\text{FFM}} = 0.06 \pm 0.15$$

$$(V - R)_C - (V - R)_{\text{FFM}} = -0.03 \pm 0.05$$

$$(R - I)_C - (R - I)_{\text{FFM}} = +0.02 \pm 0.06$$

where the suffix ‘FFM’ refers to Feinstein et al. (1980). It appears that the two photometries are basically consistent within the errors. However, the scatter is significant. We already reported in other studies (Carraro et al. 2001; Patat & Carraro 2001) significant scatter when comparing photoelectric and CCD photometry, especially for the cases of young compact clusters like NGC 3324 and Bochum 11. The reasons for such scatter can be blending effects, stars variability, uneven obscuration and so forth. We believe that the main source of error is the difficulty of photoelectric aperture photometry in dealing with crowded fields. For instance in the case of Trumpler 15, we found that the stars #14 (Grubissich numbering) is actually a blend of two stars that thanks to the good seeing conditions we were able to separate. Another case for which the difference is huge is stars #11, which has a close poorly resolved companion.

Finally, we have compared our photometry with the Walraven photometry from Thé et al. (1980). To perform the comparison, we translated the Walraven  $(V - B)$  colour into the Johnson  $(B - V)$  colour by using the relation

$$(B - V)_J = 2.571(V - B) - 1.020(V - B)^2 + 0.500(V - B)^3 - 0.01. \quad (1)$$

which is valid for  $(V - B)$  smaller than 0.45. By considering all the stars in common (22) we obtain

$$(B - V)_{\text{TBA}} - (B - V)_C = -0.048 \pm 0.075$$

However, four stars – Grubissich (1968) numbers 2, 6, 8 and 22 deviate significantly (see also Feinstein et al. 1980). By excluding these stars, we obtain

$$(B - V)_{\text{TBA}} - (B - V)_C = -0.037 \pm 0.038$$

which indicates that the two photometries are consistent within the errors. From the comparison we have excluded stars #14, that we have already shown to be a blend of two stars.

The CMDs for all the measured stars is plotted in Fig. 4 in the planes  $V - (B - V)$ ,  $V - (V - I)$  and  $V - (V - R)$ . The dashed line indicates the magnitude limit of Feinstein et al. (1980) photoelectric photometry, the deepest one before the present study.

Our photometry reaches  $V \approx 21$ , and the main sequence (MS) extends for about 10 mag. It gets wider at increasing magnitude, as a result of the following.

- (i) Some – but not severe – field star contamination.
- (ii) Photometric errors, which typically amount at  $\Delta(B - V) = 0.04, 0.10$  and  $0.15$  at  $V = 12.0, 16.0$  and  $20$ , respectively.




Figure 4. The CMDs of Trumpler 15 including all the detected stars.

- (iii) The presence of unresolved binary systems, the percentage of which in these clusters is around 30 per cent (Levato et al. 1990).

Two interesting features are worth noting.

- (i) The presence of a prominent gap at  $V \approx 12$ ,  $(B - V) \approx 0.2$ .
- (ii) A change of slope in the MS at  $V \approx 14.5$ , which is a hint for a possible *turn on*.

## 5 INDIVIDUAL REDDENINGS, MEMBERSHIP AND DIFFERENTIAL REDDENING

We use *UBV* photometry to derive the individual reddenings and membership of stars, and the analysis strictly follows Carraro & Patat (2001) and Patat & Carraro (2001). Basically we determine individual reddenings by means of the reddening free parameter  $Q$  and the distribution of the stars in the  $(U - B) - (B - V)$  diagram.

### 5.1 Individual reddening and membership


The colour-colour diagram for all the stars having *UBV* photometry (142 stars in total) is shown in Fig. 5. In this plot the solid line is an empirical ZAMS taken from Schmidt-Kaler (1982).

The bulk of the stars are confined within a region defined by two ZAMS shifted by  $E(B - V) = 0.30$  and  $0.70$  (dashed lines), respectively. The stars which occupy this region amount to 90 and have a mean reddening  $E(B - V) = 0.52 \pm 0.07$  (rms).


Since the spread in reddening is larger than the photometric errors, which are typically  $\Delta(U - B) \approx \Delta(B - V) \approx 0.04$ , this indicates the possible presence of differential reddening across the cluster.

There seems to be another population of stars more reddened, which lies beyond the ZAMS shifted by  $E(B - V) = 0.70$  and clearly detaches from the previous group. These stars are indicated with open squares, and have a mean reddening  $E(B - V) = 0.92 \pm 0.22$  (rms).

Some additional indications in this sense derive also from Fig. 6, where we plot the individual reddenings distribution function. A




**Figure 5.** Two-colour diagram for stars in the field of Trumpler 15 having *UBV* photometry. Filled circles indicate stars having mean reddening  $E(B - V) = 0.52 \pm 0.07$  (rms), open squares stars with larger reddening. The solid line is the empirical ZAMS from Schmidt-Kaler (1982), whereas the dashed lines are the same ZAMS, but shifted by  $E(B - V) = 0.30$  and by  $E(B - V) = 0.70$ , respectively.



**Figure 6.** Individual reddening distribution for stars in the field of Trumpler 15 having *UBV* photometry.

clear peak is centred at  $E(B - V) \approx 0.50$ , while a second well-separated peak is visible, centred at  $E(B - V) \approx 0.80-0.90$ . In any case there is a large spread in reddening, which suggests again the presence of differential reddening across the cluster, as recently found also by Yadav & Sagar (2001).

As a working hypothesis, we shall consider as candidate cluster members the stars having  $E(B - V) = 0.52 \pm 0.07$ , and investigate how they distribute in the reddening corrected CMDs. In Fig. 7 we plot the reddening corrected CMDs in the planes  $V_0 - (B - V)_0$  (left panel) and  $V_0 - (U - B)_0$  (right panel) using the same



**Figure 7.** Reddening corrected CMDs for stars in the field of Trumpler 15 having *UBV* photometry. Symbols are as in Fig. 5. Some interesting stars have been labelled with their Grubissich number.

symbols as in Fig. 5, and by provisionally adopting  $R_V = 3.0$  (Feinstein et al. 1980). All the stars brighter than  $V_0 < 11.5$  define a nice sequence. There is just one exception, which is the star #18 (Grubissich numbering) which has a very large reddening. The analysis of its colours (see Table 2) seems to support the idea that this star is actually a blend of two stars, a blue supergiant with a red companion (see also the discussion in Feinstein et al. (1980). Further spectra are necessary to better clarify this issue.

Below  $V_0 = 11.5$  stars having smaller reddening start to mix with stars having larger reddening. We interpret this fact in the sense that up to  $V_0 = 11.5$  Trumpler 15 clearly emerges from the background, whereas below this magnitude it smoothly merges with the general Galactic disc field. This fact was not evident in previous photometry which were not sufficiently deep. A final check is to look at the spatial distribution of members and non-members in the field of the cluster. This is presented in Fig. 8, where again filled circles represent candidate members and open squares indicate candidate non-members. It seems that our selection criterion of members and non-members worked fine, since member stars, as expected, tend to concentrate in the cluster core, whereas non-members preferentially distribute in the cluster outskirts.


In conclusions, we suggest that the 90 stars having  $E(B - V) = 0.52 \pm 0.07$  are probable members, whereas all the other stars having  $E(B - V) = 0.92 \pm 0.22$  are field stars. It is worth noticing here that in a recent work DeGioia-Eastwood et al. (2001) found that the field stars in a region close to  $\eta$  Carinae have indeed a reddening  $E(B - V) \approx 1.0$ .

Morrell et al. (1988) provide spectral classification of 21 stars in the field of Trumpler 15. We have combined the common stars (16) to obtain absolute magnitudes and colours and derive another estimate of the cluster mean reddening. These stars are listed in Table 2. We derive the reddening  $E(B - V)$  by adopting the intrinsic colour indices of OB giants, supergiants and dwarf stars in the *UBVR IJHKLM* system provided by Wegner (1994). For the 16 stars in Table 2 we obtain  $E(B - V) = 0.52 \pm 0.06$ , in perfect



**Table 2.** Photometric candidate members of the open cluster Trumpler 15 having spectral classification. This is taken from Morrell et al (1988). In the second column we list the numbering from Grubisich (1968).

ID	Grub.	Sp. Type	$\alpha$	$\delta$	$V$	$(B - V)$	$(U - B)$	$(V - R)$	$(R - I)$
2	2	O9–III	10:42:47.08	–59:05:29.50	9.438	0.213	–0.816	0.091	0.168
3	15	B0.5–IV	10:42:44.70	–59:07:14.80	10.054	0.224	–0.743	0.119	0.210
4	26	B1–V	10:42:31.80	–59:04:18.00	10.663	0.224	–0.714	0.079	0.144
6	7	B2.5–V	10:42:46.11	–59:06:01.70	10.582	0.337	–0.558	0.198	0.298
7	3	B2–V	10:42:47.76	–59:05:43.10	10.627	0.235	–0.631	0.119	0.188
8	13	B1–V	10:42:45.45	–59:06:41.60	10.861	0.284	–0.680	0.149	0.248
9	4	B1–V	10:42:43.92	–59:05:24.70	11.019	0.261	–0.712	0.127	0.204
10	23	B0–V	10:42:33.86	–59:05:39.35	11.059	0.342	–0.613	0.111	0.263
15	5	B5–V	10:42:45.06	–59:05:45.60	11.431	0.304	–0.590	0.157	0.267
17	10	B2–V	10:42:49.77	–59:06:05.20	11.609	0.316	–0.470	0.148	0.230
18	18	O9–I	10:42:39.82	–59:08:32.20	11.250	1.286	–0.252	0.850	–1.119
25	9	B1–V	10:42:45.30	–59:06:16.30	12.636	0.399	–0.389	0.224	0.321
26	25	B5–V	10:42:32.90	–59:04:50.00	12.682	0.311	–0.370	0.141	0.256
28	19	O9–V	10:42:32.70	–59:07:40.00	12.646	0.316	–0.369	0.136	0.305
42	22	B9–V	10:42:40.80	–59:06:18.70	13.200	0.431	–0.055	0.184	0.315
44	21	B0–III	10:42:05.00	–59:07:20.00	13.242	0.385	–0.231	0.180	0.315


**Figure 8.** Spatial distribution of candidate members and non-members in the field of Trumpler 15 having *UBV* photometry. Symbols are as in Fig. 5 and the size of the stars is proportional to their magnitudes.

agreement with the mean reddening derived from the analysis of the colour–colour diagram. This result makes us confident when using the photometric probable candidate members above derived.

## 5.2 Differential reddening

As already outlined, the large reddening spread visible in Figs 5 and 6 can be as a result of the presence of differential reddening across the cluster. This possibility has been already discussed by Yadav & Sagar (2001) by using data from the literature, and confirmed by the mid-infrared observations obtained by Smith et al. (2000, fig. 2) with the *MSX* satellite, which show how the region of Trumpler 15 is unevenly obscured.

It is interesting to look for a possible relation of the colour excess of each candidate member with its position on the plane of the sky, which would confirm the presence of patchy absorption. To this aim, we plotted in Fig. 9 the distribution of colour excesses across the cluster. In this figure the size of the points is proportional to the colour excess. By inspection this plot one can readily

**Figure 9.** Spatial distribution of colour excesses of the candidate member stars in the field of Trumpler 15. The size of each star is proportional to its colour excess.

recognize how the obscuration toward Trumpler 15 is really irregular.

## 6 THE EXTINCTION TOWARD TRUMPLER 15

There is some disagreement in the literature whether the extinction toward this cluster is normal ( $R_V = 3.0$ , Feinstein et al. 1980) or anomalous (larger  $R_V$ , Tapia et al. 1988).

A recent estimate of  $R_V = 4.0$  is reported by Patriarchi et al. (2001), but is based on just one star (# 18) which actually is a blend of two stars, as discussed above.

In this section we want to re-investigate this problem by using our *UBVRI* data combined with near IR *JHK*L data from Tapia et al. (1988) and spectral classifications from Morrell et al. (1988).


### 6.1 *UBV* data

*UBV* data can be used to derive an estimate of the  $R_V$  parameter, defined as the ratio of the total to selective absorption

$A_V/[E(B - V)]$ , by means of the variable extinction method (see, for instance, Johnson 1966a). This method requires also the knowledge of the absolute magnitude and intrinsic colours of individual member stars. We use the empirical ZAMS taken from Schmidt-Kaler (1982) to derive absolute magnitude and intrinsic colours.

The result is shown in Fig. 10, where all the probable photometric members selected in the previous Section have been considered. The least squares fit through the data provides  $R_V = 2.89 \pm 0.28$ . The absolute distance modulus, defined as the ordinate for  $E(B - V) = 0$ , turns out to be  $(m - M)_0 = 11.90 \pm 0.25$ , which implies a distance from the Sun of  $2.4 \pm 0.3$  kpc.

The reported errors are the results of the linear fit, obtained by assuming that both the colour excesses and the magnitudes have their uncertainty. However, owing to the small range in colour excess, the result we obtained has to be considered – with some caution – as no more than an indication.



**Figure 10.** Differential reddening plot for all the MS stars in the studied region. The solid line is a least-squares fit through the data.

## 6.2 Near IR data

To obtain another estimate of  $R_V$  we combine our *UBVRI* photometry with the near IR photometry in the *JHKL* bands from Tapia et al. (1988). We have 30 stars in common, but we perform our analysis only by considering the star having spectral classification. Basically, there are two methods to derive and estimate of  $R_V$  from near IR photometry.

The first method (a) is based on the following approximate relation

$$R_V \approx 1.1 \frac{E(V - K)}{E(B - V)}, \quad (2)$$

reported by Whittet (1990). We use Wegner (1994) intrinsic colour indices to derive the colour excess  $E(B - V)$  and  $E(V - K)$ . The mean value for the stars in Table 2 turns out to be

$$R_V = 2.77 \pm 0.33 \text{ (rms)}$$

in fine agreement with the variable extinction method.

The second method (b) makes use of the extinction curve, and was devised by Morbidelli et al. (1997). From the observed IR fluxes, the colour excesses  $E(\lambda - V)$  have been found using the intrinsic colours by Wegner (1994). The quantity  $A_V$  has then been determined with a least-squares solution, by fitting (Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis 1989):

$$E(\lambda - V) = A_V[R_L(\lambda) - 1] \quad (3)$$

where  $\lambda = R, I, J, K, L$  and  $R_L$  is the extinction curve  $A_\lambda/A_V$  taken from Rieke & Lebofsky (1985).

From the derived  $A_V$  and the known  $E(B - V)$  we obtain  $R_V$ . Since the fitting equation (3) is a homogeneous one, the uncertainty of each  $A_V$  has been computed by considering that we have  $N - 1$  degree of freedom ( $N$  being the number of photometric bands available). This implies that we can obtain only a lower limit on the  $R_V$  uncertainty, since it is not easy to take into account spectral misclassification and hence inaccuracy in the adopted intrinsic colours (Patriarchi et al. 2001).

The results are summarized in Table 3, where the identification is reported together with the individual reddening  $E(B - V)$ , the total absorption  $A_V$ , and the ratio of total-to-selective absorption  $R_V = A_V/[E(B - V)]$ , these latter derived from method (b). The last column reports the value of  $R_V$  derived from method (a). A

**Table 3.** Extinction parameters of Trumpler 15 stars with near IR photometry and spectral classification.

ID	Grub.	Sp. Type	$E(B - V)$	$A_V$	$R_V(b)$	$R_V(a)$
2	2	O9–III	0.473	$1.36 \pm 0.05$	$2.87 \pm 0.13$	2.23
3	15	B0.5–IV	0.464	$1.29 \pm 0.13$	$2.78 \pm 0.16$	2.92
4	26	B1–V	0.454	$1.15 \pm 0.21$	$2.53 \pm 0.29$	2.48
6	7	B2.5–V	0.532	$1.79 \pm 0.17$	$3.36 \pm 0.25$	2.98
7	3	B2–V	0.445	$1.50 \pm 0.33$	$3.37 \pm 0.41$	2.96
8	13	B1–V	0.514	$1.45 \pm 0.11$	$2.82 \pm 0.20$	2.68
9	4	B1–V	0.491	$1.25 \pm 0.09$	$2.55 \pm 0.17$	2.37
10	23	B0–V	0.602	$2.10 \pm 0.24$	$3.49 \pm 0.37$	2.59
15	5	B5–V	0.454	$1.49 \pm 0.07$	$3.28 \pm 0.15$	2.47
17	10	B2–V	0.526	$1.45 \pm 0.13$	$2.76 \pm 0.22$	2.61
18	18	O9–I	1.556	$6.98 \pm 0.39$	$4.48 \pm 0.65$	3.45
25	9	B1–V	0.629	$2.42 \pm 0.19$	$3.85 \pm 0.32$	3.19
26	25	B5–V	0.461	$1.58 \pm 0.23$	$3.42 \pm 0.40$	2.96
28	19	O9–V	0.596	$1.43 \pm 0.19$	$2.40 \pm 0.35$	2.41
42	22	B9–V	0.501	$1.70 \pm 0.16$	$3.39 \pm 0.30$	2.83
44	21	B0–III	0.615	$2.30 \pm 0.31$	$3.74 \pm 0.46$	3.19

weighted mean yields  $R_V = 3.09 \pm 0.44$ , in fine agreement both with the results of method (a), and with the finding of the differential reddening method. In fact the three values we obtain for  $R_V$  clearly overlap, and a weighted mean yields the final estimate

$$R_V = 2.89 \pm 0.19,$$

which means that the interstellar reddening law toward Trumpler 15 appears normal, as previously suggested for instance by Feinstein et al. (1980).


## 7 AGE, DISTANCE AND PRE-MS CANDIDATES

We already obtained an estimate of Trumpler 15 distance from the analysis of the variable extinction plot in Section 6. Here we address the issues of the distance and the age directly by considering the reddening corrected CMDs for the member stars. The correction to the magnitudes is done by computing  $V_o = V - 3.0E(B - V)$  for each star. This is plausible since we have shown that the reddening law in the direction of the cluster is normal.

### 7.1 Age and distance

The reddening corrected CMDs in the planes  $V_o - (B - V)_o$  and  $V_o - (U - B)_o$  are shown in the left and right panel of Fig. 11, respectively. The solid line super-imposed is the Schmidt-Kaler (1982) ZAMS shifted by  $(m - M)_o = 11.90$ , which fits the blue edge of the stars distribution, supporting the distance modulus estimate derived in the previous section.

The brightest stars depart somewhat from the ZAMS, suggesting that they are evolving towards the red giant region. This is confirmed by the location of the dashed and dotted lines, which are  $2 \times 10^6$  (dashed line) and  $6 \times 10^6$  (dotted line) yr solar metallicity isochrones taken from Girardi et al. (2000). The bright evolved



**Figure 11.** Reddening corrected CMD for the stars members of Trumpler 15. The solid line is the empirical ZAMS form Schmidt-Kaler (1982) Superimposed are post-MS isochrones from Girardi et al. (2000) (dashed and dotted lines). See text for more details.

stars lie between the two isochrones implying that the age of this cluster is between  $2$  and  $6 \times 10^6$  yr.

### 7.2 A gap in the MS?

Also in these diagrams a gap appears at  $V_o \approx 10.5$ ,  $(B - V)_o \approx -0.25$ , which means that the gap is not affected by the removal of non-members.

Notoriously, open star clusters often exhibit gaps in their MS – see for instance the study of Mermilliod (1976) and Rachford & Canterna (2000). It is possible to estimate the probability that a lack of stars in a mass interval is as a result of random processes by computing

$$P_{\text{gap}} = \left( \frac{m_{\text{sup}}}{m_{\text{inf}}} \right)^{(-Nx)} \quad (4)$$

where  $m_{\text{sup}}$  and  $m_{\text{inf}}$  are the border masses of the gap,  $m_{\text{sup}} > m_{\text{inf}}$ ,  $x$  is the exponent of the initial mass function (IMF) and  $N$  the number of stars above the gap, i.e. with  $m > m_{\text{sup}}$  [see Scalo (1986) and Giorgi et al. (2002) for details]. We derive star masses from the reddening corrected colours and magnitudes, finding that  $m_{\text{sup}} \approx 9 M_{\odot}$  and  $m_{\text{inf}} \approx 7 M_{\odot}$  (Girardi et al. 2000).

The number of stars brighter than the gap is  $N = 12$ . By assuming a Salpeter (1955) IMF, with  $x = 1.35$  we yield  $P_{\text{gap}} = 8 \times 10^{-4}$ . Since this value is very small, we therefore conclude that the gap is a real feature.


The spectral type at the borders of the gap can be inferred from the absolute magnitudes and colours, which we derive in this case from Johnson (1966b), since Wegner (1994) does not report the absolute  $(U - B)$  colour. The upper border is defined by  $M_V = -2.00$ ,  $(B - V)_o = -0.25$ ,  $(U - B)_o = -0.90$ , which corresponds to spectral type B1; the lower border on the other hand is defined by  $M_V = -1.00$ ,  $(B - V)_o = -0.15$ ,  $(U - B)_o = -0.52$ , which corresponds to spectral type B5. We therefore conclude that the gap is the B1–B5 gap, already found by other authors (Newell 1973), which could not be detected in previous photometric studies.

### 7.3 Pre-MS candidates

In Fig. 12 we propose a zoom of the lower part of the MS of the reddening corrected CMDs in the planes  $V_o - (B - V)_o$ . On the right we list the spectral type of the stars derived from the intrinsic magnitudes and colours (Johnson 1966b). Our aim is to compare the distribution of stars with pre-MS isochrones to see whether the position of these stars is compatible with the possibility that they are in contracting phase toward the ZAMS. To this scope, we have superimposed a post-MS isochrone (solid line) for the age of  $6 \times 10^6$  yr, taken from Girardi et al. (2000). In addition, we have overlaid three pre-MS isochrones (dashed lines) taken from D’Antona & Mazzitelli (1994), and kindly provided by Paolo Ventura. From the bottom to the top they have ages of 2, 3, 5 and  $7 \times 10^6$  yr.

First of all we would like to note that the width of the MS here is much larger than photometric errors, which at these magnitude levels amount at  $\Delta(B - V) \approx 0.04$  mag in colour. The possibility that these stars are all binaries is ruled out as well, since the unresolved binaries isochrone, which lies only 0.70 mag above the  $6 \times 10^6$  yr isochrone, encompasses only a fraction of these stars. To guide the eye we have drawn a  $6 \times 10^6$  yr unresolved binaries isochrone as a dotted line in Fig. 12.





**Figure 12.** A zoom of the lower part of the reddening corrected CMDs. The solid line is a post-MS isochrone for the age of  $6 \times 10^6$  yr, the dotted line in the left panel is the same isochrone, but shifted by 0.70 to mimic the locus of unresolved binaries, and the dashed lines are from the bottom to the top pre-MS isochrones for the ages of 2, 3, 5 and  $7 \times 10^6$  yr.

Finally, it is obvious that some of these stars can be field stars that we were not able to remove on the basis of the reddening alone. However the mean reddening of the stars we have discarded (see Section 5) is  $E(B - V) \approx 0.9$ , very similar to that found by DeGioia-Eastwood et al. (2001) for their background field.

This makes us confident on the reliability of the reddening criterion to disentangle cluster stars from field stars (see also Cudworth, Martin & DeGioia-Eastwood 1993 for a discussion on this subject).

In addition DeGioia-Eastwood et al. (2001) find that Trumpler 14 and 16, two very well-known clusters located basically at the same distance from the Sun as Trumpler 15 and probably coeval, exhibit pre-MS stars starting from  $M_V \approx 0.00$  [ $\log(L/L_\odot) \approx 2.5$ ].


A nice feature in the CMD of Fig. 4 is the presence of a possible *turn-on* at  $V \approx 14.5$ , which corresponds to ( $M_V \approx +1.00$ ). These facts, together with the nice fit provided by pre-MS isochrones suggests that indeed some of the stars with spectral types later than B7–B8 can be in contracting phase. This would imply that a significant age spread is present in this cluster.

Deep near-infrared photometry and spectroscopy can help to cast more light on the nature of this population.

## 8 CLUSTER SIZE

Trumpler 15 appears very compact on sky maps (see also Fig. 1). According to WEBDA catalog, the cluster diameter is about 14 arcmin, much larger than the region we covered.

We derived the surface stellar density by performing star counts in concentric rings around star #6 (selected as cluster centre) and then dividing by their respective surfaces. The final density profile and the corresponding Poissonian error bars are depicted in Fig. 13.



**Figure 13.** Density of stars in Trumpler 15 as a function of radius.

The surface density decreases sharply up to  $\approx 2$  arcmin, then the decrease continues very smoothly. We can consider this radius as the core radius of the cluster. Inside this radius the cluster dominates stars counts, outside it smoothly merges with the field. A larger field coverage is necessary to give an estimate of the cluster radius, since members seem to be evenly present in the field we covered.

## 9 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have presented new *UBVRI* CCD photometry for Trumpler 15, a young open cluster located in the Carina spiral feature.

We identify 90 photometric members on the base of individual reddening, position on the CMDs and spatial distribution in the field.

Basing on this large sample we provide updated estimates of cluster fundamental parameters. We find that the cluster is young, with an age between 2 and  $6 \times 10^6$  yr, contains a possible population of pre-MS candidates, which deserves further investigation, and shows a gap in the MS at  $V_0 \approx 10.5$ , that we suggest to be a real feature (the B1–B5 gap already found in other clusters).

We place the cluster at  $2.4 \pm 0.3$  kpc from the Sun. Moreover we obtain  $E(B - V) = 0.52 \pm 0.07$ , and find that the extinction toward Trumpler 15 can be considered normal. Finally we estimate that the cluster has a core radius of about 2 arcmin.

Trumpler 15 appears to be located somewhat closer to the Sun than Trumpler 14, Trumpler 16 and Collinder 232. Nevertheless, the data suggest that Trumpler 15 might belong to the complex defined by Trumpler 14, Trumpler 16, Collinder 232 and Collinder 228, since it shares with these clusters the same age and the presence of pre-MS candidates. Moreover the extinction law seems to be basically normal (with some local fluctuations) in the entire region.

The most appealing scenario one can envisage is that all these clusters probably formed together in the same recent star formation event.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper is based on observations carried out at ESO La Silla. Data are available at the WEBDA site: <http://obswww.unige.ch/webda/>. The author expresses his gratitude to ESO for kind hospitality, and thanks Guido Barbaro, Mario Perinotto and Nando Patat for many fruitful conversations. This study made use of Simbad and WEBDA.

## REFERENCES

- Cardelli J. S., Clayton G. C., Mathis J. S., 1989, *ApJ*, 345, 245  
 Carraro G., Patat F., Baumgardt H., 2001, *A&A*, 371, 107  
 Carraro G., Patat F., 2001, *A&A*, 279, 136  
 Carraro G., Barbaro G., Patat F., *A&A*, 2002, submitted  
 Cudworth K. M., Martin S. C., DeGioia-Eastwood K., 1993, *AJ*, 105, 1822  
 D’Antona F., Mazzitelli I., 1994, *ApJS*, 90, 467  
 DeGioia-Eastwood K., Throop H., Walker G., Cudworth K. M., 2001, *ApJ*, 549, 578  
 Feinstein A., 1995, *Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis.*, 2, 57  
 Feinstein A., Marraco H. G., Muzzio J. C., 1973, *A&AS*, 12, 331  
 Feinstein A., Fitzgerald M. P., Moffat A. F. J., 1980, *AJ*, 85, 708  
 Girardi L., Bressan A., Bertelli G., Chiosi C., 2000, *A&AS*, 141, 371  
 Giorgi E. E., Vazquez R. A., Baume G., Seggewiss W., Will J.-M., 2002, *A&A*, 381, 884  
 Grubisich C., 1968, *Z. Astrophys.*, 68, 173  
 Johnson H. L., 1966a, *Stars and Stellar Systems*. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, p. 167  
 Johnson H. L., 1966b, *ARA&A*, 3, 193  
 Levato O. H., Malaroda S., Garcia B., Morrell N., Solivella G., 1990, *ApJS*, 72, 323  
 Mermilliod J.-C., 1976, *A&A*, 53, 289  
 Morbidelli L., Patriarchi P., Perinotto M., Barbaro G., Di Bartolomeo A., 1997, *A&A*, 327, 125  
 Morrell N., Garcia B., Levato H., 1988, *PASP*, 100, 1431  
 Newell E. N., 1973, *ApJS*, 26, 37  
 Patat F., Carraro G., 2001, *MNRAS*, 325, 1591  
 Patriarchi P., Morbidelli L., Perinotto M., Barbaro G., 2001, *A&A*, 372, 644  
 Rachford B. J., Canerna R., 2000, *AJ*, 119, 1296  
 Rieke G. H., Lebofsky M. J., 1985, *ApJ*, 288, 618  
 Salpeter E. E., 1955, *ApJ*, 121, 161  
 Scalo J. M., 1986, *Fund. Cosm. Phys.*, 11, 1  
 Schmidt-Kaler Th., 1982, in Schaifers K., Voigt H. H., eds, *Landolt-Börnstein, Numerical data and Functional Relationships in Science and Technology, New Series, Group VI, Vol. 2(b)*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, p. 14  
 Smith N., Egas M. P., Carey S., Price S. D., Morse J. A., Price P. A., 2000, *ApJ*, 532, L145  
 Tapia M., Roth M., Marraco H., Ruiz M. T., 1988, *MNRAS*, 232, 661  
 Thé P. S., Vleeming G., 1971, *A&A*, 14, 120  
 Thé P. S., Bakker R., Antalova A., 1980, *A&AS*, 41, 93  
 Yadav R. K. S., Sagar R., 2001, *MNRAS*, 328, 370  
 Wegner W., 1994, *MNRAS*, 270, 229  
 Whittet D. C. B., 1990, *Dust in Galactic Environments*. Inst. of Physics Pub., Bristol, p. 65

This paper has been typeset from a  $\text{\LaTeX}$  file prepared by the author.